

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/514,417	7	11/15/2004	Stephane Denis Thirouin	P70213US0	2426
136	7590	11/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		MAN PLLC	MCDONALD, SHANTESE L		
400 SEV SUITE 6	/ENTH STR 500	EEI N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHI	NGTON, D	C 20004	3723		
		,		DATE MAIL ED: 11/06/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/514,417	THIROUIN, STEPHANE DENIS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shantese L. McDonald	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH	(C) OD THIDTY (20) DAVC					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctober 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-15 and 18-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-15 and 18-29</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority arrable to 0.0.0.3 1 10(a)	, (4) 5. (.).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.					
•							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	LL					

Application/Control Number: 10/514,417

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10,12-15,18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craig in view of Herzog.

Craig teaches a device, "adapted to" be used with a female recess hand tool, the device comprising an element having three dimensions, one of the three dimensions being substantially smaller than the other two of the three dimensions and being located in a plane perpendicular to an axis of clamping to a mobile element, the element marking or identifying the female recess tool by an inscription corresponding to the dimensions of the female recess tool, (col. 1, lines 8-15), and the inscription being visible inside the female recess tool, the element being constituted by a multi-layer material being constituted by a layer of one of plastic and metallic material, the element being semi-rigid and made of plastic or metallic materials, (col. 3, lines 26-30), the element including a shape complementary to the shape of the female recess tool, (col.3, lines 34-38). Craig also teaches a device that is "adapted to" be secured in the tool by forces of friction, the forces of friction being generated by rubbings between an inner wall of the female recess and a lateral surface of the element. Craig teaches all the limitations of the clams except for the layer of ink having a protective material, the

Art Unit: 3723

element being a pellet of concave shape, the pellet having a thickness included between 0.1 and 1.5mm, and the information being inscribed on two faces of the pellet. Herzog teaches a concave pellet for identifying objects comprising a plastic layer, an ink layer and a protective layer, (col. 4, lines 46-60). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the element of Craig with a protective layer, as taught by Herzog, in order to protect and enhance the durability of the element. It would have been further obvious to have provide the labeling system of Craig with a concave pellet, as taught by Herzog, in order to enhance the variability, and to accommodate a tool with a complamentary surface, and since Craig teaches, "the substrate may take on any desired shaped such as a circle, for example", (col. 3, lines 37-38). It would have been further obvious to have made the pellet with a thickness of between 0.1 and 1.5 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claims are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. It would also been obvious to inscribed the information on two faces of the pellet, as a mere matter of design choice.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10,12-15 and 18-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Examiner notes that after further review of the claims, the claims are merely claiming a device that is "adapted to" be used with a female tool. The Applicant is not claiming the device in combination with the female recess tool, and therefore the claims

Art Unit: 3723

merely read on a device that is "adapted to" be inserted in female recess, or basically a label that could be inserted in a female recess of a tool. The Craig reference in view of Herzog teaches the above listed limitations of the claims as presently claimed without the combination of the female recess tool.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 30, 2006

Page 5

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700